

JAN 31 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.	:	10/750,522	Confirmation No. 1973
Applicant	:	Gardner, Donald S.	
Filed	:	December 31, 2003	
TC/A.U.	:	2823	
Examiner	:	Lee, Hsien Ming	
Docket No.	:	042390.P16465	
Customer No.	:	008791	

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

In response to the Office action mailed November 8, 2006, please enter this amendment and consider the following remarks.

Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 31 2007

Intel Corporation
Patents and Licensing
Mailstop - JF3-147
2111 NE 25th Avenue
Hillsboro, Oregon 97124
Tele - (503) 264-8286
Fax - (503) 264-1729

Facsimile Transmission - Attorney/Client Privileged - Confidential

Page 1 of 15

Date: January 31, 2007

To:
Examiner Hsien Ming Lee

Fax:
(571) 273-8300

Art Unit:
2823

From:
Philip A. Pedigo
Intel Corporation

Fax:
(503) 264-1729

Mailstop:
JF3-147

Subject:
10/750,522

Docket Number:
42P16465

Filing Date:
December 31, 2003

Inventor:
Donald A. Gardner

I hereby certify that the below listed correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO to: Mail Stop: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 31, 2007.

Derek S. Watson

Date: January 31, 2007Message:

Included in this Transmission:

- Facsimile Cover Sheet (1 page)
- Transmittal Form (1 page)
- Fee Transmittal (1 page submitted in duplicate)
- Response and Amendment to Office Action (11 pages)

Important Notice!!!

This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/750,522	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JAN 31 2007
	Filing Date	12/31/2003	
	First Named Inventor	Donald A. Gardner	
	Art Unit	2823	
	Examiner Name	Hsien Ming Lee	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	42390.P16465	


ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): - Fax Transmittal
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Intel Corporation		
Signature	/Phillip A. Pedigo/Reg. No. 52,107/		
Printed name	Phillip A. Pedigo		
Date	January 31, 2007	Reg. No.	52,107

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Derek S. Watson	Date	01/31/2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2005☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)

Complete if Known **RECEIVED**

Application Number	10/750,522	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Filing Date	12/31/2003	
First Named Inventor	Donald A. Gardner	JAN 31 2007
Examiner Name	Hsien Ming Lee	
Art Unit	2823	
Attorney Docket No.	42390.P16465	

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)
☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0221 Deposit Account Name: Intel Corporation

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	200	100
Multiple dependent claims	360	180

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	----------	---------------	---------------------------	----------	---------------

- 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$)

- 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	--	----------	---------------

- 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____ = _____

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): _____

Fees Paid (\$)

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	/Philip A. Pedigo/Reg. No. 52,107/	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 52,107	Telephone 503-712-5560
Name (Print/Type)	Philip A. Pedigo		Date January 31, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

JAN 31 2007

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2005☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/750,522
Filing Date	12/31/2003
First Named Inventor	Donald A. Gardner
Examiner Name	Hsien Ming Lee
Art Unit	2823
Attorney Docket No.	42390.P16465

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-0221 Deposit Account Name: Intel Corporation

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**Fee Description**

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)

Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
50	25

Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)

200

Multiple dependent claims

360

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	----------	---------------

- 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
---------------	--------------	----------	---------------

- 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

Multiple Dependent Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
---------------------------	----------	---------------

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	--	----------	---------------

- 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____ = _____

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Fees Paid (\$)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): _____

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	/Phillip A. Pedigo/Reg. No. 52,107/	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 52,107	Telephone 503-712-5560
Name (Print/Type)	Phillip A. Pedigo		Date January 31, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

an electrical contact formed on an upper surface of the layer of semiconductor material to provide an electric field to alter the electro-absorption of light in the optically active layer.

3. (Currently Amended) The device of claim 1, wherein the layer of SiGe is a strained layer of SiGe having a dopant to provide electrons in the strained layer of SiGe.
4. (Original) The device of claim 3, wherein the dopant is at least one of arsenic, phosphorus, and antimony.
5. (Original) The device of claim 3, wherein the strained layer of SiGe is between 20 and 70 percent Germanium.
6. (Original) The device of claim 5, wherein the strained layer of SiGe is 27 percent Germanium.
7. (Original) The device of claim 3, wherein the substrate is formed from silicon.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

8. (Original) The device of claim 3, further comprising:
a second layer of semiconductor material formed on an upper surface of the substrate; and
wherein the optically active layer is formed on an upper surface of the second layer of semiconductor material.
9. (Original) The device of claim 8, wherein the second layer of semiconductor material is formed from silicon.
10. (Original) The device of claim 3, wherein the optically active layer further comprises:
a layer of semiconductor material formed on an upper surface of the first strained layer of SiGe; and
a second strained layer of SiGe formed on an upper surface of the semiconductor layer to provide a second quantum well, wherein the second strained layer of SiGe is doped with arsenic.
11. (Original) The device of claim 10, wherein a ratio of silicon to germanium in the first strained layer is different than a ratio of silicon to germanium in the second strained layer.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

12. (Currently Amended) The device of claim + 2, wherein the optical modulator is an optical waveguide modulator.

13. (Original) The device of claim 12, further comprising an optical cavity in optical communication with the optically active layer.

14. (Currently Amended) The device of claim + 2, wherein the layer of SiGe has a thickness between five and thirty nanometers.

15. (Currently Amended) The device of claim + 2, wherein the substrate is formed from germanium.

Claims 16-30 (Withdrawn)

31. (Cancelled) An integrated circuit comprising:
a substrate formed from a semiconductor material;

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

an optical modulator with an optically active layer formed on the semiconductor substrate, the optically active layer including a strained layer of SiGe having a quantum well to provide electro-absorption of light; and
an optical fiber having a first end in optical communication with the optical modulator.

32. (Currently Amended) ~~The system of claim 31~~ An integrated circuit comprising:
a substrate formed from a semiconductor material;
an optical modulator with an optically active layer formed on the semiconductor
substrate, the optically active layer including a strained layer of SiGe having a quantum
well to provide electro-absorption of light, wherein the strained layer of SiGe is doped
with at least one of arsenic, phosphorus, and antimony; and
an optical fiber having a first end in optical communication with the optical
modulator.

33. (Original) The system of claim 32, further comprising a light-emitting source fabricated on the semiconductor substrate to provide an optical signal to the optical modulator.

34. (Original) The system of claim 33, further comprising a photodetector in optical communication with a second end of the optical fiber to receive light.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Att. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

35. (Currently Amended) The system of claim ~~31~~ 32, wherein the substrate is formed from silicon.

36. (Original) The system of claim 35, wherein the strained layer of SiGe is between 20 and 70 percent Germanium.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

Remarks

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application as amended herein. Claims 16-30 have been withdrawn. Claims 1 and 31 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 2, 3, 12, 14, 15, 32, and 35 have been amended. Thus, claims 2-15 and 32-36 remain pending in the application.

Claim Objections

Claims 32-36 were objected to because of an informality associated with the preambles of each claim. In response, the Applicant has amended the preamble of each claim to correct the informality. Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to claims 32-36 be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-11 and 32-34 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 2-11 depend (directly or indirectly) from claim 1 and claims 32-36 depend (directly or indirectly) from claim 31.

Claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 3, 12, 14, and 15 have been amended to depend from amended claim 2. Original claims 4-11 and 13 depend (either directly or indirectly) from claim 2. Thus, claim 2 is allowable and claims 3-11 depend from an allowable claim.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

Claim 32 has been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 35 has been amended to depend from amended claim 32. Original claims 33, 34, and 36 depend (either directly or indirectly) from claim 32. Thus, claim 32 is allowable and claims 33-36 depend (either directly or indirectly) from an allowable claim.

Claim Rejections § 102

Claims 1, 12, and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,954,473 issued to Dehmubed et al. (*Dehmubed*). Claim 1 has been cancelled without prejudice and, thus, the rejection of claim 1 is moot. For at least the reasons set forth below, the Applicant submits that claims 12 and 13 are not anticipated by *Dehmubed*.

Claims 12 and 13 have been amended to depend (directly or indirectly) from allowable claim 2. For at least the reason that dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 12 and 13 are not anticipated by *Dehmubed*.

Claim Rejections § 103

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,949,761 issued to Chu et al. (*Chu*). For at least the reasons set forth below, the Applicant submits that claim 14 is patentable over *Chu*.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

Claim 14 has been amended to depend (directly or indirectly) from allowable claim 2. For at least the reason that dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, the Applicant respectfully submits that claim 14 is patentable over *Chu*.

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dehmubed* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,450 issued to Goossen (*Goossen*). For at least the reasons set forth below, the Applicant submits that claim 15 is patentable over *Dehmubed* in view *Goossen*.

Claim 15 has been amended to depend (directly or indirectly) from allowable claim 2. For at least the reason that dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, the Applicant respectfully submits that claim 15 is patentable over *Dehmubed* in view *Goossen*.

Claims 31, 35, and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dehmubed* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,403,975 issued to Brunner et al. (*Brunner*). Claim 31 has been cancelled without prejudice and, thus, the rejection of claim 31 is moot. For at least the reasons set forth below, the Applicant submits that claims 35 and 36 are patentable over *Brunner*.

Claims 35 and 36 have been amended to depend (directly or indirectly) from allowable claim 32. For at least the reason that dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, the Applicant respectfully submits that claims 35 and 36 are patentable over *Dehmubed* in view *Brunner*.

Application No. 10/750,522
Response to Office action of November 8, 2007

Atty. Docket No. 042390.P16465
TC/A.U. 2823

Conclusion

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 31, 2007

/Philip A. Pedigo/
Philip A. Pedigo
Reg. No. 52,107
Attorney for Intel Corporation

Intel Corporation
2200 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95052
(503) 712-5560